HOW TO USE THE RUBRIC FOR RANKING TEACHING FACULTY

Teaching Faculty Member:	
Overall Rating Score:	
<u>Chair:</u>	
Academic Year:	

Directions: The Chairperson of each division is to use this rating scale to rank each non-tenured faculty member on each of the 5 categories included in the Rubric for Ranking Teaching Faculty. First, the faculty member will receive a particular ranking (Superior, Good, Satisfactory or Deficient) for each criteria under each of the five categories, then the sum of the ranking values for each criteria met by the faculty member will determine his/her ranking for that category according to the ranking index listed at top of each category in the Rubric for Ranking Teaching Faculty. After a faculty member has been rated on all categories, he/she will receive an Overall Rating Score using this method for calculation:

	Check off faculty member's ranking per category				
Category	Superior (Value = 4)	Good (Value = 3)	Satisfactory (Value = 2)	Deficient (Value = 1)	Calculate Rating Score
Effectiveness as a Teacher					4 x (Ranking Value)
Contributions to the Department/Division					3 x (Ranking Value)
Contributions to the College					2 x (Ranking Value) =
Contributions to the Community					1 x (Ranking Value) =
Scholarly or Other Contributions to Professional Organizations					1 x (Ranking Value) =
<u> </u>	<u>, 1</u>	<u> </u>	1	1	Total Overall Rating Score:

Interpretation of Overall Rating Score

(based on an Overall Rating Score across all categories with the first category "Effectiveness as a Teacher" having a weight of 4; the second category "Contributions to the Department/Division having a weight of 3, the third category "Contributions to the College a weight of 2 and the last two categories "Contribution to the Community" and "Scholarly or Other Contributions to Professional Organizations a weight of 1)

Overall Rating Score	Total Overall Score
Superior	38 – 44 points
Good	27 – 37 points
Satisfactory	17 - 26 points
Deficient	11 - 16 points

<u>Note</u>: The lowest possible score ("deficient performance" ranking in all 5 categories) is an 11; a "satisfactory performance" ranking on all 5 categories is a score of 22; a "good performance" ranking on all 5 categories is a score of 33; and, the highest possible score ("superior performance" ranking in all 5 components) is a 44.

Description of how the ranking will be used to determine retention and the granting of tenure:

- After the first year, the Overall Rating Score must be 17 points or more; if not, the faculty member will not be retained. A Personal Improvement Plan (PIP) will be completed to help the faculty improve his/her performance.
- After the second year, the Overall Rating Score must be higher than that received in the first year to show improvement; if this is not the case, a second PIP will be designed and implemented thereafter to help the faculty member improve his/her performance.
- After the third year, the Overall Rating Score must be 27 points or higher; if this is not the case, the faculty member will not be retained.
- After the fourth year, the faculty member must have earned a ranking of Superior Performance (4) in the "Effectiveness as a Teacher" category as well as an Overall Rating Score of 32 or higher in order to be recommended for tenure in the 5th year.

Chair (signature):Date:	
-------------------------	--

Faculty Member (signature): Date	
----------------------------------	--

Rubric for Ranking Classroom Faculty

Directions: Check off the criteria satisfied by the faculty member, then take the sum of the ranking values for the criteria met. The rank of the faculty member in this category is determined by this ranking value sum using this index: Superior: 24-28; Good: 18-23; Satisfactory: 12-17; Deficient: 7-11

Category	Superior Performance	Good Performance	Satisfactory Performance	Deficient Performance	Suggested Assessment
Ranking Value	4	3	2	1	Source(s)
	 Demonstrates excellent command of subject matter Demonstrates highly effective teaching/ learning strategies 	 Shows good command of subject matter Shows effective teaching/learning strategies 	 Shows satisfactory command of subject matter Shows satisfactory teaching/ learning strategies 	 Shows poor command of subject matter Shows poor teaching and learning strategies 	 Peer/ Chair's Evaluations Peer, Chair's, & Student Evaluations
	Actively encourages student participation and promotes the intellectual engagement and development of students	Good student participation; good promotion shown for the intellectual development of students	Satisfactory student participation; some promotion shown for the intellectual development of students	Little or no student class participation; does not promote the intellectual development of students	Peer, Chair's, & Student Evaluations; review of class tests and assignments
Effectiveness as a Teacher	Shows enthusiasm, initiative, and good rapport with students; displays excellent classroom management	Lively classroom presence, good rapport with students and good command in the classroom	Satisfactory teacher/student engagement and rapport and maintains class control	Poor teacher/ student engagement and rapport and minimal control in the classroom	Peer, Chair's, & Student Evaluations
	 Communicates clearly and accurately 	Good communication skills	Satisfactory communication skills	Poor communication skills	Peer /Chair's Evaluations
	Excellent plan for class observed; syllabus shows organized plan for the class with clearly defined learning outcomes/ objectives and appropriate assessment mechanisms	Good plan for class observed; good class syllabus showing learning outcomes/ objectives and appropriate assessment mechanisms	Satisfactory plan for class observed; satisfactory class syllabus showing learning outcomes/ objectives & appropriate assessment mechanisms	Disorganized plan for class observed; class syllabus shows poorly defined outcomes/ objectives & inappropriate assessment mechanisms	Review of Class Syllabus & Plan for Class Observed
	 Teaching materials and methods are current and appropriate – from chalk to digital enhancement 	Good teaching materials and methods	Average teaching materials and methods	Poor teaching materials and methods	Peer / Chair's Evaluations

Directions: Check off the criteria satisfied by the faculty member, then take the sum of the ranking values for the criteria met. The rank of the faculty member in this category is determined by this ranking value sum using this index: Superior: 24-28; Good: 18-23; Satisfactory: 12-17; Deficient: 7-11

Category	Superior Performance	Good Performance	Satisfactory Performance	Deficient Performance	Suggested Assessment
Ranking Value	4	3	2	1	Source(s)
	Chairs or actively serves on several departmental committees with substantial contribution	 Serves on a few departmental committees with strong contribution 	 Serves on at least one departmental committee 	 Little/no involvement with departmental committees 	Chair's/ Self and Divisional Evaluation Committee; Divisional Committees Minutes
	Coordinates one or more courses/programs in the discipline with substantial contribution	Engages in course coordination efforts with others in the discipline with strong contribution	Engages in at least one course coordination effort with others in the discipline	Engages in no course coordination efforts in the discipline	Chair's/Self and Divisional Evaluation Committee
	Consistently engages in course/program revision and development with substantial contribution	 Has conducted course/program revision & development with strong contribution 	Has conducted at least one course/program revision or development	Has not conducted any course/program revision or development	Chair's/Self and Divisional Evaluation Committee
Contribution to the Discipline/Division	 Serves as a leader in course/program assessment efforts 	 Has actively participated in course/program assessment efforts 	Has assisted in course/program assessment efforts	Has not participated in course/program assessment efforts	Chair's/Self and Divisional Evaluation and Assessment Committees
	Consistently mentors/ evaluates two or more junior/adjunct faculty with substantial contribution	Has mentored or evaluated two or more junior/adjunct faculty with strong contribution	Has mentored or evaluated at least one junior/adjunct faculty	Has not mentored or evaluated other faculty	Chair's/Self and Divisional Evaluation Committee
	Mentors/advises program majors on a consistent basis	Often mentors/ advises program majors	 Occasionally mentors/advises program majors 	 Has not mentored or advised program majors 	Chair's/Self and Divisional Evaluation Committee
	Has conducted two or more assessment studies of student learning outcomes (SLOs) for courses with substantial contribution	Has conducted at least one assessment study of SLOs	Has assisted others in assessment efforts of SLOs	Has not participated in any assessment efforts of SLOs	Chair's/Self and Divisional Evaluation Committee

Directions: Check off the criteria satisfied by the faculty member, then take the sum of the ranking values for the criteria met. The rank of the faculty member in this category is determined by this ranking value sum using this index: Superior: 16-20; Good: 12-15; Satisfactory: 8-11; Deficient: 5-7

Category	Superior Performance	Good Performance	Satisfactory Performance	Deficient Performance	Suggested Assessment
Ranking Value	4	3	2	1	Source(s)
	 Actively involved in one or more grant projects with substantial contribution 	Involved in at least one grant project with strong contribution	Assisted with one grant project	Has not been involved in any grant project	 Grant Proposal or Report; Chair's/Self or Divisional Evaluation Committee
	Leader/ active participant in at least one College Governance Council with substantial contribution	 Leader/active participant in at least one College Governance Council with strong contribution 	Member or participant in at least one College Governance Council.	Has not participated in any College Governance Council	 Academic Dean's Report; Minutes of College Governance Councils; Chair's/ Self/ Divisional Evaluation Committee
Contributions to the College	Serves as advisor or has substantially contributed to at least one student club/organization	 Has participated or strongly contributed to at least one student club/organization activity 	Has attended at least one student club or organization activity	Has not been involved in any student club or organization activity	 Dean of Student's Report; Chair's/Self/ Divisional Evaluation Committee
	Has conducted more than one presentation/ workshop for colleagues and/or students	Has conducted at least one presentation or workshop for colleagues and/or students	Has worked with others in at least one presentation or workshop for colleagues/students	Has not conducted any presentation or workshop for colleagues/students	Copy of flyer; Chair's/ Self / Divisional Evaluation Committee
	Has substantially contributed to college- wide activities (student awards functions; open houses; College forums; etc.)	Has strongly participated in at least one college-wide activity at the College	Has attended at least one college-wide activity at the College	Has not attended any college-wide activity at the College	 Chair's/Self/ Divisional Evaluation Committee

Directions: Check off the criteria satisfied by the faculty member, then take the sum of the ranking values for the criteria met. The rank of the faculty member in this category is determined by this ranking value sum using this index: Superior: 11-12; Good: 9-10; Satisfactory: 6-8; Deficient: 3-5

Category	Superior Performance	Good Performance	Satisfactory Performance	Deficient Performance	Suggested Assessment
Ranking Value	4	3	2	1	Source(s)
	Substantially contributes to agencies or services that benefit the community (church, school, service group, fraternal or non-profit organization, etc.)	Regularly participates with at least one agency/service that benefits the community	Has participated with at least one program that has benefited the community	Has not participated in any community service program or activity	Academic Dean's Report; Chair's/ Self/Divisional Evaluation Committee
Contributions to Community Service	Acts as a spokesperson or liaison to community groups on behalf of the College	Has represented the College in at least one community group	Has represented the College in at least one community program	Has not represented the College in any community programs	 Academic Dean's Report; Chair's/ Self/Divisional Evaluation Committee
	Actively involved in research projects for the community, industry or government	Involved in at least one research project for the community, industry or government	Participated in some aspect of a research project for an outside agency	Has not engaged in any research projects for an outside agency	Research or community agency report; Chair's/ Self /Divisional Evaluation Committee

Directions: Check off the criteria satisfied by the faculty member, then take the sum of the ranking values for the criteria met. The rank of the faculty member in this category is determined by this ranking value sum using this index: Superior: 16-20; Good: 12-15; Satisfactory: 8-11; Deficient: 5-7

Category	Superior Performance	Good Performance	Satisfactory Performance	Deficient Performance	Suggested Assessment
Ranking Value	4	3	2	1	Source(s)
	Maintains active membership or leadership position in professional organizations	Is a member of some professional organizations	Is a member of at least one professional organization	Is not a member of any professional organization	Professional organizations' listings
Scholarly or Other	Published more than once in print/ online; engaged in creative productions and/ or presented at professional conferences	Has published at least one article and/or presented at a professional conference	Has assisted others in at least one publication and/or conference presentation	Has not published nor presented in any conference	Professional journals/ websites or conference program
Contributions to Professional Organizations	Continues scholarship in the discipline by taking courses, earning a degree/ certificate or engaging in research /advanced training.	Has taken more than one graduate/ certificate course or advanced training in the discipline	Has taken at least one graduate/ certificate course or advanced training in the discipline	Has not engaged in any graduate/ certification work or advanced training in the discipline	College transcript with course(s) & grade(s); Self Evaluation
	 Consistently engages in professional development activities 	Has engaged in more than one professional development activity	Has engaged in at least one professional development activity	Has not engaged in any professional development activities	 Flyer; Chair's/ Self/ Divisional Evaluation Committee
	Has received honors/awards for work in the discipline	Has received at least one honor/ award from work within the discipline	Has received some form of recognition from work in the discipline	Has not received any recognition from work within the discipline	Copy of the award letter; Chair's/ Self/ Divisional